ST. LUCIE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

| ne School Advisory Council embership is in compliance: Yes No | Interim Superintendent: Genelle Yost Date of School Board Approval: |
|--|---|
| | 10/8/2013 |
| | SAC Chair: |
| | SAC Secretary Name: |
| ow will the SAC be involved in the shool year? | he evaluation of the SIP throughout the |
| | w will the SAC be involved in t |

PART II: DATA ANALYSIS: FCAT/EOC Trend Data and Increasing Student Achievement

School Grade, AMO, and FCAT trend data are included in this section, along with disaggregated data by grade level for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and social studies.

| | etter Grades Data | | -Trend D | ata_ | |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Grade 2013 | Grade 2012 | Grade 2011 | Grade 2010 | Grade 2009 | |
| | | | | | |

The following links will open in a separate browser window. The data should be used to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

| READING | |
|--|---|
| 2013-2014 Reading goals as measured by FCAT | 2012-2013 Reading FCAT comparison |
| 1% () of the students will score a 3 or higher. | 1. Level 3 or higher% () |
| 2% () of the students will score a 4 or 5. | 2. Level 4 or 5% () |
| 3% () of the students will make learning gains. | 3. Learning gains% () |
| 4% () of the students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains. | 4. Lowest 25% making gains% () |
| 2013-2014 Reading goals for specific subgroups By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the number of students who are proficient in reading Objective: | n accordance with the Annual Measurable |
| American Indian (%), Asian (%), Black/African American (%), His | panic (%), White (%), |
| English Language Learners (%), Students with Disabilities (%), Economically | Disadvantaged (%) |
| In June 2013, the current level of performance of students who scored proficient in reading: | |
| American Indian (%), Asian (%), Black/African American (%), His | panic (%), White (%), |
| English Language Learners (%), Students with Disabilities (%), Economically | Disadvantaged (%) |
| For schools with grades 6-12, describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading | ; improvement of every student: |
| | |

| Mathematics | | |
|---|---|--|
| 2013-2014 Mathematics goals as measured by FC | <u>CAT</u> | 2012-2013 Mathematics FCAT comparison |
| 1% () of the students will score a 3 or high | ner. | 1. Level 3 or higher % () |
| 2% () of the students will score a 4 or 5. | | 2. Level 4 or 5%() |
| 3% () of the students will make learning g | ains. | 3. Learning gains%() |
| 4% () of the students in the lowest 25% wi | ill make learning gains. | 4. Lowest 25% making gains% () |
| 2013-2014 Algebra goals as measured by EOC | | |
| 1% () of the students will score a 3 or hig | gher. | 2012-2013 Algebra EOC comparison |
| 2. % () of the students will score a 4 or 5. | | 1. Level 3 or higher%() |
| | | 2. Level 4 or 5% () |
| 2013-2014 Geometry goals as measured by EOC | | |
| 1% () of the students will score a 3 or hig | gher. | 2012-2013 Geometry EOC comparison |
| 2% () of the students will score a 4 or 5. | | 1. Level 3 or higher% () |
| | | 2. Level 4 or 5% () |
| 2013-2014 Mathematics goals for specific subgrou | <u>ups</u> | |
| By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the num | ber of students who are proficient in mathema | atics in accordance with the Annual Measurable |
| Objective: | | |
| American Indian (%), Asian (%) | , Black/African American (%), His | panic (%), White (%), |
| English Language Learners (%), Students | with Disabilities (%), Economically | / Disadvantaged (%) |
| | | |
| In June 2013, the current level of performance of stu | idents who scored proficient in mathematics: | |
| American Indian (%), Asian (%) | _, Black/African American (%), His | spanic (%), White (%), |
| English Language Learners (%), Students | with Disabilities (%), Economically | y Disadvantaged (%) |

| <u>Science</u> | |
|--|--|
| 2013-2014 Science Goals as measured by FCAT 1% () of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% () of the students will score a level 4 or 5. | 2012-2013 Science FCAT Comparison 1. Level 3 or higher%() 2. Level 4 or.5%() |
| 2013-2014 Biology Goals as measured by EOC 1% () of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% () of the students will score a level 4 or 5. STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) Goal STEM SMART Goal(s): | 2012-2013 BIO EOC Comparison 1. Level 3 or higher%() 2. Level 4 or 5%() |
| <u>Writing</u> | |
| 2013-2014 Writing goals as measured by FCAT 1% () of the students will score proficient in writing. | 2012-2013 FCAT Writes Comparison 1. Scored proficient% () |
| Social Studies | |
| 2013-2014 Civics goals as measured by EOC 1% () of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% () of the students will score a level 4 or 5. | 2012-2013 Civics EOC Comparison 1. Level 3 or higher% () 2. Level 4 or 5% () |
| 2013-2014 US History goals as measured by EOC 1% () of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% () of the students will score a level 4 or 5. | 2012-2013 US History EOC Comparison 1. Level 3 or higher%() 2. Level 4 or 5%() |

| | | rnative Assessment n this section if fewer than ten students on FAA. | |
|--------|----------------|--|--|
| | | ading goals as measured by the FAA) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Reading. | 2012-2013 FAA Reading comparison |
| 3 | %(%(%(|) of the students will score a 7 or above in Reading.) of the students will make Learning Gains in Reading.) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains. | 1. Level 4, 5, or 6% () 2. Level 7 or higher% () 3. Learning gains% () 4. Lowest 25% making gains% () |
| | 2014 Ma | thematics goals as measured by the FAA) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Mathematics. | |
| 2 | ` |) of the students will score a 7 or above in Mathematics. | |
| 3 | % (|) of the students will make Learning Gains in Mathematics. | |
| 4 | %(|) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains. | |
| | | ence goals as measured by the FAA | |
| | ` |) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Science. | |
| 2 | %(|) of the students will score a 7 or above in Science. | |
| Do no | t report o | sive English Language Learning Assessment Goals: In this section if fewer than ten students on CELLA. It is speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level | |
| 1 2 | %(|) Students will score proficient in Listening/Speaking as measured by CELLA) Students will score proficient in Reading as measured by CELLA | 2012-2013 CELLA Proficiency 1. Listening/Speaking% (2. Reading% (3. With a second sec |

) Students will score proficient in Writing as measured by CELLA

<u></u>%(

3. Writing

| Dropout Prevention | |
|--|---|
| Attendance | |
| 1. The Attendance rate will increase by%. | |
| Students with excessive absences will decrease by%. (Defined as 10 or more absences) Students with excessive tardies will decrease by%. (Defined as 10 or more tardies) | 2013 Attendance Rates 1. Current attendance rate% 2. Current number of students with excessive absences 3. Current number of students with excessive tardies |
| Suspension The In-School Suspension rate will decrease by%. The total number of students suspended In-School will decrease by%. The Out-of-School Suspension rate will decrease by%. The total number of students suspended Out-of-School will decrease by%. | 2013 Suspension Rates 1. Total number of In-School Suspensions 2. Total number of students suspended In-School 3. Total number of Out-of-School Suspensions 4. Total number of students Suspended Out-of-School |
| Parent Involvement 1. Parent involvement will be increased by% (unduplicated). | 2013 Parent Involvement Rates 1. Total number of parents involved in school improvement activities(unduplicated). |

Health and Wellness Programs

| Violence Prevention and Safety Programs |
|---|
| |
| Student Nutrition Programs |
| |
| Physical Education Plan |
| |
| Staff Wellness |
| |
| Alcohol, Tobacco and other Drugs Prevention |
| |
| Health Services |
| |

PART III: MTSS Implementation

| Describe how | the Core PST functions (e.g., meeting pr | ocesses and roles/functions). How do | es it work with other school teams to |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| organize/coo | rdinate MTSS efforts? | | |
| | | | |
| Describe the | data resource(s) and the data managemen | at exetam(s) used to summarize data | at each tiar |
| Describe the | uata resource(s) and the data managemen | it system(s) used to summarize data a | at each tier. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Describe the | plan to train staff on MTSS. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Describe the | plan to support MTSS. | | |
| Describe the | pian to support W1155. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Tier I Strategies | Tier II Strategies | Tier III Strategies |
| Literacy | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Mathematics | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Science | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Social | | | |
| Studies | | | |
| | | | |
| Behavior | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PART IV: BUDGET

Professional Development (PD) aligned with strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Each strategy must be aligned with one or more goals listed previously.

| PD Content or PLC Focus | Focused Goal(s) | Person Responsible for Monitoring | Monitoring Tool | Participants | Target Dates | Funding Source | Amount |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| Collaborative Planning/ Lesson Study | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

PART V: Differentiated Accountability

| School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance |
|--|
| benoof tevel Billetennated recountability (Bri) compliance |
| Please verify the school's DA Status. (If in DA status, school must use the state SIP form.) |
| Trease verify the serious about the state of |
| |
| Are you a DA school? Yes No |
| |
| Are you reward school? Yes No |
| |
| (A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.) |
| Schools that are in DA Status must complete the DA Checklist of Compliance. http://flbsi.org/DA/ |
| |
| |
| PART VI: Postsecondary Transition/Readiness |
| *High Schools Only |
| |
| How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to |
| their future? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| How does the school incompacts students' and come and come planning as well as promote student course selections, so that students' |
| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' |
| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| course of study is personally meaningful? |
| course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School |
| course of study is personally meaningful? |
| course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School |
| course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School |
| course of study is personally meaningful? Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School |